

HELICITY CONSERVATION VIA THE NOETHER THEOREM

Asher Yahalom

The Racah Institute of Physics, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Abstract

The conservation of helicity in ideal barotropic fluids is discussed from a group theoretical point of view. A new symmetry group is introduced i.e. the alpha group of translations. It is proven via the Noether theorem that this group generates helicity conservation.

Key words: Helicity of fluids; Symmetry groups ; Noether theorem.

1. Introduction

The conservation of helicity $\mathcal{H} = \int \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\omega} d^3x$ in ideal barotropic fluid when certain conditions are satisfied in particular, when vorticity lines are closed was discovered by Moffat (1969). Moreau (1977) has discussed the conservation of helicity from the group theoretical point of view. In his paper he used an enlarged Arnold symmetry group (Arnold(1966)) of fluid element labeling to generate the conservation of helicity. Lynden-Bell and Katz (LBK 1981) introduced a special labeling with the help of the concepts "load" and "metage" defined by those authors . The purpose of this paper is to show that the symmetry group generating conservation of helicity becomes a very simple one parameter translation group in the space of labels (alpha space) when represented by LBK labeling.

2. Noether theorem and the conservation of Helicity

It will be useful to write the equations of motion first to show our essentially standard notations: $\vec{r} = (x^k) = (x, y, z)$ [$k, l, m, n = 1, 2, 3$] for the position of fluid elements labeled (α^k) , t for time, $\vec{u} \equiv \frac{D\vec{r}}{Dt}$ for the velocity field in inertial coordinates, ρ the density, $P(\rho)$ the pressure, $h(\rho) = \int dP/\rho$ the specific enthalpy, ε is the specific internal energy, Φ is an external potential. Euler's equations for barotropic flows may be written as:

$$\vec{\mathcal{O}} \equiv \frac{D\vec{u}}{Dt} + \vec{\nabla}(h + \Phi) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

The vorticity is defined by:

$$\vec{\omega} = \text{rot } \vec{u} \quad (2.2)$$

Now let us denote the initial position of a fluid element by (x_0^k) , by mass conservation:

$$\rho(x^k)d^3x = \rho(x_0^k)d^3x_0 = \rho(x_0^k) \frac{\partial(x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3)}{\partial(\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)} d^3\alpha \quad (2.3)$$

Since the initial position of a fluid element can not depend on time it must depend on the label only, and therefore by an appropriate choice of the α 's we obtain:

$$\rho(x^k)d^3x = d^3\alpha, \quad \rho = \frac{\partial(\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)}{\partial(x^1, x^2, x^3)} \quad (2.4)$$

Where we assume that the above expressions of course exist. The action (Bretherton 1970) is given by:

$$A = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L dt \quad \text{with} \quad L = \int_V \left[\frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^2 - (\varepsilon(\rho) + \Phi(\vec{r})) \right] \rho d^3x = \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^2 - (\varepsilon(\rho) + \Phi(\vec{r})) \right] d^3\alpha \quad (2.5)$$

Following Bretherton we obtain the variation of action:

$$\Delta A = \int \int \left[\frac{D\vec{u} \cdot \vec{\xi}}{Dt} - \vec{\mathcal{O}} \right] \cdot \vec{\xi} d^3\alpha dt = \int \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\xi} d^3\alpha \Big|_{t_0}^{t_1} - \int \int \vec{\mathcal{O}} \cdot \vec{\xi} d^3\alpha dt. \quad (2.6)$$

Where $\vec{\xi}(\vec{\alpha}, t)$ is an arbitrary small displacement of a fluid element labeled $\vec{\alpha}$. Now if variations disappear at times t_0, t_1 than A is extremal only if Euler's equations are satisfied.

If on the other hand we make a symmetry displacement i.e. a displacement that makes ΔA vanish and assume that Euler's equations are satisfied, we obtain that:

$$\int_V \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\xi} d^3\alpha = \text{const.} \quad (2.7)$$

this is Noether Theorem in it's fluid mechanical form. A similiar formalism can be constructed for liquids ($\rho = \text{const.}$) by replacing $\varepsilon \rightarrow h = \frac{P}{\rho}$ in (2.5), equation (2.7) is not altered.

The α' s so chosen as to satisfy the equation (2.4) are not unique in fact one can always choose another set of variables say $\tilde{\alpha}'$ s such that:

$$\frac{\partial(\tilde{\alpha}^1, \tilde{\alpha}^2, \tilde{\alpha}^3)}{\partial(\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)} = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

It is quite clear that if the domain of integration is not modified any new set of α' s satisfying (2.8) can be chosen without effecting the value of the Lagrangian L . This is nothing but Arnolds (1966) alpha space symmetry group under which L is invariant. For some flows the domain of integration can be modified without effecting L , in that case we have additional elements in our symmetry group. If we make only small changes $\delta\alpha$ than we can define the group as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \delta\alpha_k}{\partial \alpha_k} = 0 \quad (2.9.a)$$

$$\delta\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{n} \Big|_{surface} = 0 \quad (2.9.b)$$

where $\vec{n}$ is a unit vector orthogonal to the surface of the alpha space volume which we integrate over. The restriction (2.9.b) is only needed when the infinitesimal transformation changes the domain of integration in such a way as to modify L . In this paper we are interested in the subgroup of translation, i.e.:

$$\delta\alpha_k = a_k \quad a_k = const. \quad (2.10)$$

This subgroup of course does not satisfy (2.9.b) unless at least few of the α' s are cyclic or L is not effected by the modification of domain.

LBK(1981) have defined the three following parameters: the load λ , the metage μ and β . Surfaces of constant load λ are surfaces of constant mass per unit vortex strength in a narrow tube of vortex lines: if dM is the mass in a tube with vorticity flux dC ,

$$\lambda = \frac{dM}{dC} = \int_{\text{Top}}^{\text{Bottom}} \frac{\rho}{\omega} dl. \quad (2.11)$$

The integration is along $\vec{\omega}$ -lines. For closed vortex lines "Top" is the same as "Bottom" and a "cut" must be introduced. These surfaces may be parametrized $\lambda(\alpha)$. The metage μ , is defined as in (2.11) taken up to some "red mark" on the $\vec{\omega}$ -line:

$$\mu = \int_{\text{Bottom}}^{\text{Red mark}} \frac{\rho}{\omega} dl. \quad (2.12)$$

Notice that if the vortex lines are closed μ is an angular variable. The variable β is defined by a family of surfaces of $\vec{\omega}$ -lines as well. Thus, by definition,

$$\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \alpha = 0, \quad \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \beta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \mu = \rho. \quad (2.13)$$

The parametrization of α and β may be so chosen that

$$\vec{\omega} = \vec{\nabla}\alpha \times \vec{\nabla}\beta. \quad (2.14)$$

Having chosen $\alpha^k = \alpha, \beta, \mu$, It follows from (2.14) that

$$\rho = \frac{\partial(\alpha, \beta, \mu)}{\partial(x, y, z)} = \vec{\nabla}\alpha \times \vec{\nabla}\beta \cdot \vec{\nabla}\mu. \quad (2.15)$$

We can translate μ with out changing L if vortex lines are closed, or L is not sensitive to translations in the μ direction.

The appropriate symmetry displacement associated with the infinitesimal change in α is:

$$\vec{\xi} = -\frac{\partial\vec{r}}{\partial\alpha^k}\delta\alpha^k \quad (2.16)$$

Assuming that vortex lines are closed or translations of μ does not effect L for some reason [one may inquire the nature of flows of which a translation of μ does not effect L , a short calculation will show that the condition for this is Moffat's (1969) condition: $\int_S(\frac{1}{2}\vec{u}^2 - h - \phi)\vec{\omega} \cdot d\vec{S} = 0$ as expected] we have the symmetry displacement:

$$\vec{\xi} = -\frac{\partial\vec{r}}{\partial\mu}\delta\mu \quad (2.17)$$

Using equation (2.7) we obtain the conservation laws:

$$\int_V \vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial\vec{r}}{\partial\mu} d^3\alpha = const. \quad (2.18)$$

Now from (2.15) we see that:

$$\rho \frac{\partial\vec{r}}{\partial\mu} = \vec{\omega} \quad (2.19)$$

and thus from (2.18) we obtain the helicity conservation law:

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_V \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\omega} d^3x = \text{const.} \quad (2.20)$$

Thus we conclude that the alpha translation group in the direction of μ generates conservation of helicity. [One could ofcourse introduce the symmetry displacement $\vec{\xi} = \epsilon \frac{\vec{\omega}}{\rho}$ right after equation (2.7), however, in this case one should show that the above displacement is a symmetry group displacement which is not obvious if we do not take into account Arnold's group and LBK's labeling. Moreover in coordinate space the symmetry group appears arbitrarily complex depending on the flow considered as opposed to its apparent simplicity in alpha space.]

References

Arnold, V.I. 1966, *J. Méc.*, **5**, 19.

Bretherton, F.P. 1970 *J. Fluid Mech*, **44**, 117.

Lynden-Bell, D. and Katz, J. 1981, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London*, **A378**, 179 (referred to as LBK81).

Moffat H.K. 1969, *J. Fluid Mech*, **35**, 117.

Moreau, J.J. 1977, *Seminaire D'analyse Convexe, Montpellier 1977*, *Expose no: 7*